	Case 5:02-cv-05882-RMW Document 2	1 Filed 03/19/08 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3		<b>E-FILED on</b> 3/19/08	
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10			
11	JAMES WILSON CALLOWAY,	No. C-02-05882 RMW	
12	Petitioner, v.	ORDER RE: PETITIONER'S MOTION TO	
13	RONALD M. WHITE, Warden,	REVIEW [Re Docket Nos. 20]	
14	Respondent.	[Re Docket Nos. 20]	
15 16			
17	Petitioner James Wilson Calloway, who	is represented by counsel, submitted a "Motion for	
18	Review" with regard to his habeas petition. Calloway, proceeding <i>pro se</i> for purposes of filing this		
19	motion because his attorney has not returned his calls, asks the court to consider the recent Supreme		
20	Court decision Cunningham v. California, 549 U.S, 127 S.Ct. 856 (2007). The court will consider		
21	this case when it issues its ruling on plaintiff's habeas petition.		
22			
23			
24	DATED: 3/19/08	Rmald M. Whyte	
25		RONALD M. WHÝTE United States District Judge	
26			
27			
28			
	ORDER RE: PETITIONER'S MOTION TO REVIEW—No. C-02-05882 RMW		

1	1 A copy of this order was mailed on 3/19/08	t	0:	
2	2 Counsel for Petitioner:			
3	3 Mark Shenfield First District Appellate Project			
4				
5	5 James W. Calloway			
6				
7				
8	8	nt to		
9				
10	Counsel for Respondent:			
11	Ann P Wathen ann.wat Peggy S. Ruffra peggy.ru	hen@c uffra@	loj.ca.gov doj.ca.gov	
12	12			
13	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this order to co-counsel, as necessary.			
14	14			
15	15			
16	16			
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
	20			
21	21			
	22			
23				
	24			
25				
	26			
	27			
28	28			
	II			